

## United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO		FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/667,513		09/22/2000	Masaya Kimura	826.1625/JDH	9866
21171	7590	04/29/2005		EXAMINER	
STAAS & HALSEY LLP SUITE 700				MILLS, DONALD L	
1201 NEW YORK AVENUE, N.W.				ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
WASHINGTON, DC 20005			2662		
				DATE MAILED, 04/20/2005	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

## Application No. Applicant(s) Advisory Action 09/667.513 KIMURA ET AL. Before the Filing of an Appeal Brief Examiner **Art Unit** 2662 Donald L Mills -- The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address --THE REPLY FILED 05 April 2005 FAILS TO PLACE THIS APPLICATION IN CONDITION FOR ALLOWANCE. 1. X The reply was filed after a final rejection, but prior to filing a Notice of Appeal. To avoid abandonment of this application, applicant must timely file one of the following replies: (1) an amendment, affidavit, or other evidence, which places the application in condition for allowance: (2) a Notice of Appeal (with appeal fee) in compliance with 37 CFR 41.31; or (3) a Request for Continued Examination (RCE) in compliance with 37 CFR 1.114. The reply must be filed within one of the following time periods: a) The period for reply expires 3 months from the mailing date of the final rejection. b) The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this Advisory Action, or (2) the date set forth in the final rejection, whichever is later. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of the final rejection. Examiner Note: If box 1 is checked, check either box (a) or (b). ONLY CHECK BOX (b) WHEN THE FIRST REPLY WAS FILED WITHIN TWO MONTHS OF THE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP 706.07(f). Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The date on which the petition under 37 CFR 1.136(a) and the appropriate extension fee have been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of extension and the corresponding amount of the fee. The appropriate extension fee under 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the shortened statutory period for reply originally set in the final Office action; or (2) as set forth in (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of the final rejection, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). NOTICE OF APPEAL 2. 🔲 The reply was filed after the date of filing a Notice of Appeal, but prior to the date of filing an appeal brief. The Notice of Appeal was filed on . A brief in compliance with 37 CFR 41.37 must be filed within two months of the date of filing the Notice of Appeal (37 CFR 41.37(a)), or any extension thereof (37 CFR 41.37(e)), to avoid dismissal of the appeal. Since a Notice of Appeal has been filed, any reply must be filed within the time period set forth in 37 CFR 41.37(a). **AMENDMENTS** 3. 📈 The proposed amendment(s) filed after a final rejection, but prior to the date of filing a brief, will not be entered because (a) They raise new issues that would require further consideration and/or search (see NOTE below); (b) They raise the issue of new matter (see NOTE below); (c) They are not deemed to place the application in better form for appeal by materially reducing or simplifying the issues for appeal; and/or (d) They present additional claims without canceling a corresponding number of finally rejected claims. NOTE: \_\_\_\_\_. (See 37 CFR 1.116 and 41.33(a)). 4. The amendments are not in compliance with 37 CFR 1.121. See attached Notice of Non-Compliant Amendment (PTOL-324). 5. Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s): 6. Newly proposed or amended claim(s) \_\_\_\_\_ would be allowable if submitted in a separate, timely filed amendment canceling the non-allowable claim(s). 7. $\boxtimes$ For purposes of appeal, the proposed amendment(s): a) $\boxtimes$ will not be entered, or b) $\square$ will be entered and an explanation of how the new or amended claims would be rejected is provided below or appended. The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows: Claim(s) allowed: Claim(s) objected to: Claim(s) rejected: 1-20. Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration: \_\_\_\_ AFFIDAVIT OR OTHER EVIDENCE 8. 🔲 The affidavit or other evidence filed after a final action, but before or on the date of filing a Notice of Appeal will <u>not</u> be entered because applicant failed to provide a showing of good and sufficient reasons why the affidavit or other evidence is necessary and was not earlier presented. See 37 CFR 1.116(e). 9. The affidavit or other evidence filed after the date of filing a Notice of Appeal, but prior to the date of filing a brief, will not be entered because the affidavit or other evidence failed to overcome all rejections under appeal and/or appellant fails to provide a showing a good and sufficient reasons why it is necessary and was not earlier presented. See 37 CFR 41.33(d)(1). 10. The affidavit or other evidence is entered. An explanation of the status of the claims after entry is below or attached. REQUEST FOR RECONSIDERATION/OTHER 11. X The request for reconsideration has been considered but does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because: See Continuation Sheet.

PRIMARY EXAMINER

13. Other: \_\_\_\_.

U.S. Patent and Trademark Office

PTOL-303 (Rev. 9-04)

12. Note the attached Information Disclosure Statement(s). (PTO/SB/08 or PTO-1449) Paper No(s).

Continuation of 11. NOTE:

The Examiner appreciates the Applicant's remarks for providing further clarification.

Rejection Under 35 USC § 102

On page 10 of the remarks, regarding claim 1, Applicant argues that Gupta does not disclose notifying from the terminal device to the delivering source device of information specifying resources to be delivered and a relay device for receiving the resources and delivering the resources specified by the notifaction from the delivering srouce device to the relay device specified by the notification. The Examiner respectfully disagrees. Gupta discloses notifying from the terminal device (client) to the delivering source device (application server) of information specifying resources to be delivered and a relay device (webtop server) for receiving the resources (col. 9, lns. 55-col. 10, lns. 2); delivering the resources specified by the notification from the delivering source device (application server) to the relay device (webtop server) specified by the notification (deliver and cache resources at the webtop server, col. 9, lns. 55-65); and delivering the resources from the relay device (webtop server) to the terminal device (client) according to an access from the terminal device (client, col. 9, lns. 55-65, the data is stored at the webtop server for future access to the resources without having to submit another request to the application server).

On page 11 of the remarks, regarding claim 1, Applicant argues that the aim of the present invention is different from that of the prior art. Regardless of the intent, when the claims are read in a broad, literal, and reasonable interpretation the claims are anticipated or made obvious per the prior office action.

Note, if the Applicant files a Request for Continued Examination or Appeal brief the amendment will be entered.